

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-82, 89-98, and 105-114 are pending. Claims 1, 10, 22, 31, 43, 52, 64, 73, 78, 89, 94, 105 and 110 have been amended.

Applicant respectfully traverses all rejections from the last office action on two grounds: (1) Robotham (U.S. Pat. 6,704,024) describes a different system than the presently claimed invention, and (2) Robotham, having an earliest possible filing date of August 7, 2000, is not prior art to Applicant's invention which is entitled to the filing date of the parent application which is February 2, 2000. Either of these reasons is sufficient to require the withdrawal of all rejections.

Robotham's system employs a multi-level overview which is received at a "client" device which in turn can request more information for a portion shown, at the client device within this overview. This is unlike the amended claims which require (using claim 1 as an example) "wherein the portion of image completely shows the content of the portion of the image..." As required by the amended claims, the client device shows the complete portion of the web page rather than an overview of a web page.

Furthermore, Robotham is not prior art of the present application, as shown above.


For at least the foregoing reasons all claims should be allowed.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account
No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 8/8, 2006


James C. Scheller, Jr.
Reg. No. 31,195

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(408) 720-8300